

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/392,254	YAMANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kevin M Bernatz.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Ward.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1/11/05 - 1/21/05

Time: various

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

18,19,23,39-41

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the case was in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 18,19,23 and 39 - 41, which either fail to further limit the claims from which they depend from, or are duplicates of other claims. Applicants failed to reach a decision on cancelling the above claims in a timely manner..